2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	DANIEL CISNEROS,	Case No. <u>16-cv-00735-HSG</u>	
8	Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM	
9	v.		
10	J. VANGILDER, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12		1	
13	DANIEL MANRIQUEZ,	Case No. <u>16-cv-01320-HSG</u>	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	V.		
16	J. VANGILDER, et al., Defendants.		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	We, the jury in the above-entitled action,	unanimously find the following on the questions	
20	submitted to us:		
21	PLAINTIFF CISNEROS'S CLAIMS		
22	<u>Defendant Vangilder</u>		
23	1) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder was deliberately		
24	indifferent to a substantial risk of serious harm to, and/or a serious medical need of,		
25	Plaintiff Cisneros?		
26	YES NO		
27	If you answered YES to Question 1, go to Question 2.		

If you answered NO to Question 1, skip Question 2 and go to Question 3.

1	2) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder:
2	a) Was aware that Plaintiff Cisneros suffered harmful effects from exposure to OC?
3	YES NO
4	b) Knew that Defendants' ventilation efforts were inadequate?
5	YES NO
6	If you answered YES to both Questions 2(a) and 2(b), go to Question 2(c).
7	If you answered NO to either Question 2(a) or 2(b), go to Question 3.
8	c) Purposefully refused to provide Plaintiff Cisneros with a shower, medical care, or
9	self-decontamination procedure?
10	YES NO
11	Go to Question 3.
12	3) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder was negligent,
13	and that his negligence was a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff Cisneros?
14	YES NO
15	If you answered YES to Question 1 and/or Question 3, go to Question 4.
16	If you answered NO to both Question 1 and Question 3, go to Question 5.
17	4) What amount of damages do you award to compensate Plaintiff Cisneros for his claim
18	against Defendant Vangilder?
19	\$
20	Go to Question 5.
21	<u>Defendant Vasquez</u>
22	5) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vasquez was deliberately
23	indifferent to a substantial risk of serious harm to, and/or a serious medical need of, Plaintiff
24	Cisneros?
25	YES NO
26	If you answered YES to Question 5, go to Question 6.
27	If you answered NO to Question 5, skip Question 6 and go to Question 7.
28	6) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vasquez:

1	a) was aware that Flamium Cisheros surfered harmful effects from exposure to OC?
2	YES NO
3	b) Knew that Defendants' ventilation efforts were inadequate?
4	YES NO
5	If you answered YES to both Questions $6(a)$ and $6(b)$, go to Question $6(c)$.
6	If you answered NO to either Question $6(a)$ or $6(b)$, go to Question 7.
7	c) Purposefully refused to provide Plaintiff Cisneros with a shower, medical care, or
8	self-decontamination procedure?
9	YES NO
10	Go to Question 7.
11	7) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vasquez was negligent,
12	and that his negligence was a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff Cisneros?
13	YES NO
14	If you answered YES to Question 5 and/or Question 7, go to Question 8.
15	If you answered NO to both Question 5 and Question 7, go to Question 9.
16	8) What amount of damages do you award to compensate Plaintiff Cisneros for his claim
17	against Defendant Vasquez?
18	\$
19	Go to Question 9.
20	<u>Defendant Cupp</u>
21	9) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Cupp was deliberately
22	indifferent to a substantial risk of serious harm to, and/or a serious medical need of, Plaintiff
23	Cisneros?
24	YES NO
25	If you answered YES to Question 9, go to Question 10.
26	If you answered NO to Question 9, skip Question 10 and Question 11 and go to
27	Question 12.
28	10) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Cupp:

a)	Was aware that Plaintiff Cisneros suffered harmful effects from exposure to OC?
	YES NO
b)	Knew that Defendants' ventilation efforts were inadequate?
	YES NO
	If you answered YES to both Questions 10(a) and 10(b), go to Question 10(c).
	If you answered NO to either Question 10(a) or 10(b), go to Question 11.
c)	Purposefully refused to provide Plaintiff Cisneros with a shower, medical care, or
	self-decontamination procedure?
	YES NO
	Go to Question 11.
11) What	amount of damages do you award to compensate Plaintiff Cisneros for his claim
agains	t Defendant Cupp?
	\$
	Go to Question 12.
	PLAINTIFF MANRIQUEZ'S CLAIMS
Defendant V	<u>angilder</u>
12) Do yo	u find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder was deliberately
indiffe	erent to a substantial risk of serious harm to, and/or a serious medical need of,
Plainti	iff Manriquez?
	YES NO
	If you answered YES to Question 12, go to Question 13.
	If you answered NO to Question 12, skip Question 13 and go to Question 14.
13) Do yo	u find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder:
a)	Was aware that Plaintiff Manriquez suffered harmful effects from exposure to OC?
	YES NO
b)	Knew that Defendants' ventilation efforts were inadequate?
	YES NO
	If you answered YES to both Questions 13(a) and 13(b), go to Question 13(c).

	If you answered NO to eit	her Question 13(a) or 13(b), go to Question 14.
c)	Purposefully refused to pr	ovide Plaintiff Manriquez with a shower, medical care, or
	self-decontamination proc	edure?
	YES	NO
	Go to Question 14.	
14) Do yo	u find by a preponderance of	of the evidence that Defendant Vangilder was negligent,
and th	at his negligence was a sub	stantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff Manriquez?
	YES	NO
	If you answered YES to Q	uestion 12 and/or Question 14, go to Question 15.
	If you answered NO to bo	th Question 12 and Question 14, go to Question 16.
15) What a	amount of damages do you	award to compensate Plaintiff Manriquez for his claim
agains	t Defendant Vangilder?	
	\$	
	Go to Question 16.	
Defendant Va	<u>asquez</u>	
16) Do you	i find by a preponderance of	f the evidence that Defendant Vasquez was deliberately
indiffer	rent to a substantial risk of s	serious harm to, and/or a serious medical need of, Plaintiff
Manriq	uez?	
	YES	NO
	If you answered YES to Q	uestion 16, go to Question 17.
	If you answered NO to Qu	estion 16, skip Question 17 and go to Question 18.
17) Do yo	u find by a preponderance of	of the evidence that Defendant Vasquez:
a)	Was aware that Plaintiff N	Manriquez suffered harmful effects from exposure to OC?
	YES	NO
b)	Knew that Defendants' ve	entilation efforts were inadequate?
	YES	NO
	If you answered YES to be	oth Questions $17(a)$ and $17(b)$, go to Question $17(c)$.
	If you answered NO to eit	her Ouestion 17(a) or 17(b), go to Ouestion 18.

c)	e) Purposefully refused to provide Plaintiff Manrie	quez with a shower, medical care, or
	self-decontamination procedure?	
	YES NO	
	Go to Question 18.	
18) Do yo	you find by a preponderance of the evidence that De	efendant Vasquez was negligent,
and th	that his negligence was a substantial factor in causi	ng harm to Plaintiff Manriquez?
	YES NO	
	If you answered YES to Question 16 and/or Que	estion 18, go to Question 19.
	If you answered NO to both Question 16 and Q	uestion 17, go to Question 20.
19) What	t amount of damages do you award to compensate	Plaintiff Manriquez for his claim
agains	nst Defendant Vasquez?	
	\$	
	Go to Question 20.	
Defendant C	<u>Cupp</u>	
20) Do you	ou find by a preponderance of the evidence that De	fendant Cupp was deliberately
indiffer	Ferent to a substantial risk of serious harm to, and/o	r a serious medical need of, Plaintiff
Manriq	iquez?	
	YES NO	
	If you answered YES to Question 20, go to Ques	stion 21.
	If you answered NO to Question 20, skip Questi	ion 21 and Question 22 and sign
	and date this form.	
21) Do yo	you find by a preponderance of the evidence that De	efendant Cupp:
a)) Was aware that Plaintiff Manriquez suffered ha	rmful effects from exposure to OC?
	YES NO	
b)) Knew that Defendants' ventilation efforts were	inadequate?
	YES NO	
	If you answered YES to both Questions 21(a) ar	ad 21(b), go to Question 21(c).
	If you answered NO to either Question 21(a) or	21(b), go to Question 22.

United States District Court Northern District of California

c)	Purposefully refused to pro	vide Plaintiff Manriquez	with a shower, medical care, or
	self-decontamination procedure?		
	YES	NO	
	Go to Question 22.		
22) What	amount of damages do you a	ward to compensate Plai	ntiff Manriquez for his claim
agains	et Defendant Cupp?		
	\$		
	Sign and date this form.		
	Signature of jury foreperso	n	Date